

Dear Mrs Crews

Reference: Planning application 14/01003/FUL

Objection to plan for No.21 The Avenue, Charlton Kings, Cheltenham

The revised drawings show alterations to the roof on the Eastern elevation but no change to the overbearing two storey extension on the West which is set further back than the original plan.

The planning officer regards the very large wall as acceptable and that the loss of sunlight ticks the light box test. This wall will fill the space in the photo being closer to the fence.

As the ground slopes down away from the house the height will be emphasised even more. It is certainly not subservient.



The applicant, who has never lived in No.21, will enjoy the morning sunlight across the whole of the back of his house whilst denying this to the family living in No.20 for ever.





How can anyone consider that losing all of this is in any way “acceptable”?

The reduction in space between the houses is very important as these gardens are on the north side. Reference has been made to the older houses on the the opposite side of the road which all have their gardens and main living rooms on the South side and are not affected in the same way by extensions and light although they are rather cramped in places.

When No.20 was sold we were told the intention was to build a single storey extension at the back of the house which was not a problem. This application is both unwelcome and unneighbourly as the applicant clearly shows no desire for any compromise whatsoever.

I would ask the council to refuse this application.

Yours sincerely

[Redacted signature]

20 The Avenue
Charlton Kings
GL53 9BL